SUBJECT No. 3.

The Mysore Legislative Council.

(1) Mr. K. Shankaranarayana Rao, Shimoga Municipality.
(2) Messrs. Nadig Bhima Rao and Appajaiya, Channagiri taluk
(3) Messrs. S. R. Balakrishna Rao, Shimoga Municipality, C. Subba-Rao, Shimoga District Board; and C. Vaman Rao, Nagar taluk.
(4) Mr. V. Raghavachar, Pavagada taluk.

Summary of discussion.—(1) The present number of two members elected by the Representative Assembly to the Legislative Council is too small to adequately represent the provincial interests. For several reasons, the malnad districts of Kadur and Shimoga are unable to send up their own representatives to the Legislative Council. It is respectfully prayed that each of these two districts may be granted the privilege of electing a member to the Legislative Council.

(2) One member may be allowed to be elected by the Representative members of each district to the Legislative Council once in three years.

(3) The districts of Shimoga, Kadur and Chitaldrug may be formed into an electorate to return a Representative member to the Mysore Legislative Council.

A member may be elected from each district for the Mysore Legislative Council.

(4) It is requested that arrangements may be made to hold the election of members to the Legislative Council from the Representative Assembly in the same year in which the election of members is held.

SUBJECT No. 4.

Right of interpellation in the Legislative Council.

(1) Messrs. C. Vamana Rao, Nagar taluk and K. Shankarnarayana Rao, Shimoga Municipality
(2) Mr. C. B. Gopala Rao, Kolar Municipality.

Summary of discussion.—(1) Like all other Legislative bodies in India, our Legislative Council may be granted the right of interpellation. This privilege will be a great boon. As the Representative Assembly meets only once a year, this right if granted to the Assembly cannot be of much practical utility. As the Legislative Council meets oftener, the privilege will be of real use to the public.

(2) As it is essential for the Government to be in touch with the various matters which do not come to their notice officially, and in view of the fact that an independent press does not exist, the right of interpellation in the Legislative Council may kindly be granted.

SUBJECT No. 5.

State Budget to be discussed by the Legislative Council.

Messrs. C. Vamana Rao Nagar taluk and K. Shankarnarayana Rao, Shimoga Municipality.

Summary of discussion.—The State Budget may be allowed to be discussed by the Legislative Council as in British India. This privilege will be of immense benefit to the public.

Paras (2), (3), and (4) of subject No. 2 were withdrawn as also subjects Nos. 4 and 5. The discussion was mainly confined to para (1) of subject No. 2. Mr. K. Shankaranarayana Rao represented that each district has its own peculiar conditions. For example, the districts of Shimoga and Kadur have their own characteristic features which are not shared by the districts of Mysore and Hassan. Again the members in the former districts laboured under